



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,108	05/11/2006	Michael D. Burkart	26774-14255	1801
758 FENWICK & WEST LLP 7590 SILICON VALLEY CENTER 04/21/2009 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				
EXAMINER NEGIN, RUSSELL SCOTT				
ART UNIT 1631		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 04/21/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,108	BURKART ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RUSSELL S. NEGIN	1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Russell S. Negin (USPTO). (3) _____.

(2) Robert Yamasaki (attorney). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The lack of sequence compliance for this application was discussed. Specifically, instant claim 14, pages 51-52 of the specification, and Figure 10 were discussed as having potential issues with the rules governing sequence compliance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Russell S. Negin/ 15 April 2009
--	----------------------------------